

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,363	08/27/2001	Thomas A. Saksa	10011180-1	5070
7.	590 04/08/2003			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			COHEN, AMY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				TATER NOMBER
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
, , ,	Advisory Action	09/940,363	SAKSA, THOMAS A.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Amy R Cohen	2859		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
There final is condi	REPLY FILED 19 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE To fore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appendination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of				
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
have bo 37 CFI (b) abo	Attensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data cen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);		
(t) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	pelow);			
(0	 they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or 	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the		
(0) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.		
_	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3.∐	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):			
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the		
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly		
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
	Claim(s) allowed:				
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,12-16,18-25,27-29</u> .				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.		
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	,		
10.	Other:	•			
		per			
		Diego Gutierrez			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations added to claims 1, 14, and 22 raise new issues since they were not present in the finally regected claims.